arXiv:1702.08854v1 [math.NT] 28 Feb 2017

ON A WARING'S PROBLEM FOR INTEGRAL QUADRATIC AND HERMITIAN FORMS

CONSTANTIN N. BELI, WAI KIU CHAN, MARÍA INÉS ICAZA, AND JINGBO LIU

ABSTRACT. For each positive integer n, let $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ be the smallest integer such that if an integral quadratic form in n variables can be written as a sum of squares of integral linear forms, then it can be written as a sum of $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ squares of integral linear forms. We show that as n goes to infinity, the growth of $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ is at most an exponential of $\sqrt{n}$. Our result improves the best known upper bound on $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ which is in the order of an exponential of n. We also define an analogous number $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ for writing hermitian forms over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ of an imaginary quadratic field as sums of norms of integral linear forms, and when the class number of the imaginary quadratic field is 1, we show that the growth of $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ is at most an exponential of $\sqrt{n}$. We also improve results of Conway-Sloane [2] and Kim-Oh [14] on s-integral lattices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Representations of integers as sums of (integer) squares is a question which has piqued the interest of many mathematicians for centuries. Because of the well-known work of Fermat, Euler, Legendre, and Lagrange on sums of squares, it is now known that every positive integer is a sum of at most four squares. This result has been generalized in many different ways. For example, the *Pythagoras number* of a ring R is the smallest positive integer $p = p(R)$ such that every sum of squares of elements of R is already a sum of p squares of elements of R. The results we just mentioned about sums of squares is tantamount to saying that the Pythagoras number of $\mathbb{Z}$ is 4.

There is also a higher dimensional generalization in terms of representations of integral quadratic forms. An integral quadratic form $g(\mathbf{y})$ in variables $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ is said to be represented by another integral quadratic form $f(\mathbf{x})$ in variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ $(n \geq m)$ if there exists an $m \times n$ integral matrix T such that $g(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}T)$. For the sake of convenience, for any positive integer r we denote the quadratic form $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_r^2$ by its Gram matrix I_r. In general, a quadratic form is represented by I_r if and only if it is the sum of r squares of integral linear forms. In this context, Lagrange's Four-Square Theorem simply says that every unary positive definite integral quadratic form is represented by I_4. Mordell [24] made the first step of generalization along this direction by proving that every positive definite binary integral quadratic form is represented by I_5,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11E12, 11E25, 11E39.

Key words and phrases. Waring's problem, Sums of squares, Sums of norms.

and that 5 is the smallest number with this property.[1] In the same paper, he posed the following what he called a *new Waring's Problem*: can every positive definite integral quadratic form in n variables be written as a sum of $n+3$ squares of integral linear forms? This was proven to be true when $n \leq 5$ by Ko [17], but around the same time Mordell [25] showed that the 6-variable quadratic form corresponding to the root system E_6 cannot be represented by *any* sum of squares. Later Ko [18] showed further that up to equivalence this 6-variable quadratic form is the only counterexample among all positive definite 6-variable integral quadratic forms. This leads to the consideration of the set $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ of all integral quadratic forms in n variables that can be represented by some sums of squares and the following definition of the "g-invariants" of $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) := \min\{g : \text{ every quadratic form in } \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \text{ is represented by } I_g\}.$$

All the results mentioned thus far can be summarized as $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) = n+3$ for $n \leq 5$. Ko [18] conjectured that $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(6) = 9$, but this is disproved almost sixty years later by Kim-Oh [12] who show that $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(6)$ is actually equal to 10. This is the last known exact value of $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$, although explicit upper bounds for $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ for $n \leq 20$ have been found; see [13] and [29].

The definition of the g-invariants of $\mathbb{Z}$ is a special case of the g-invariants of a commutative ring A first appeared in the third author's paper [9], although the special case where A is a field is studied earlier in [1]. Using a deep result in representations of positive definite integral quadratic forms [7], the third author [9] shows that when $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of a totally real number field, $g_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ is bounded above by a function of n which is at least an exponential function of the class number of I_{n+3} as a quadratic form over $\mathcal{O}$. The latter is expected to be growing extremely fast as n increases. Indeed, in the special case $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$, the class number of I_{n+3} is at least in the order of n^{n^2} by considering the mass of the genus of I_{n+3} [23]. A much better upper bound $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) = O(3^{n/2} n \log n)$ is later obtained by Kim-Oh [14] which is the best upper bound on $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ so far.

In this paper, we will improve the upper bound on $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ by showing that its growth is at most an exponential of $\sqrt{n}$. Before introducing the precise statement of this result, we note that our method applies to the analogous problem of representing integral hermitian forms over an imaginary quadratic field by sums of norms. Let E be an imaginary quadratic field, $*$ be its nontrivial Galois automorphism, and $\mathcal{O}$ be its ring of integers. Let I_r be the hermitian form $x_1 x_1^* + \cdots + x_r x_r^*$ over $\mathcal{O}$, the sum of r norms. For any positive integer n, let $\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ be the set of integral hermitian forms over $\mathcal{O}$ in n variables that are represented by sums of norms. We define

$$g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) = \min\{g : \text{ every hermitian form in } \Sigma^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) \text{ is represented by } I_g\}.$$

The finiteness of $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ can be proved in the same way as $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$. A proof of this is presented in the Appendix when E is replaced by an arbitrary CM field and the hermitian forms by the more general notion of hermitian $\mathcal{O}$-lattices. To unify the

[1] In a recent short note [32], A. Schinzel has reported and filled in a gap in Mordell's proof.

discussion of the hermitian and quadratic cases together, we allow the pair $(E, *)$ to be $(\mathbb{Q}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$ in the subsequent discussion. In particular, a quadratic form over $\mathbb{Z}$ is just a hermitian form over $\mathbb{Z}$ with respect to the trivial automorphism, and $g_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ is simply $g^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ as a consequence. Our main result can be stated as

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field with class number 1, and $\mathcal{O}$ be its ring of integers. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) = O(e^{(k_E+\varepsilon)\sqrt{n}}),$$

where k_E is a constant depending on the field E.

Explicitly, the constant k_E appeared in Theorem 1.1 is equal to $(4+4\sqrt{2})\sqrt{\beta_E}$, where β_E is defined as

$$\beta_E := \sup_{x\in E} \inf_{c\in\mathcal{O}} |\mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(x-c)|^{\frac{1}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the norm from E to $\mathbb{Q}$. In literature, the number $\beta_E^{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$ is called the Euclidean minimum of E. It is clear from the definition that $\beta_{\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{1}{2}$. The exact value of β_E for a general imaginary quadratic field $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell})$, $\ell > 0$ squarefree, can be easily deduced from a result of Dirichlet [3] (see also the survey [20]):

$$\beta_E^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell+1}{4} & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \bmod 4; \\ \frac{(\ell+1)^2}{16\ell} & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Let $|\ |$ be the valuation on E that is the usual absolute value when restricted on $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{K}$ be the completion of E with respect to $|\ |$. Let $\mathcal{C}_E$ be the set $\{z \in \mathbb{K} : |z| \le \beta_E\}$. As a straightforward consequence from the definition of β_E and the denseness of E in $\mathbb{K}$, we see that given any $z \in \mathbb{K}$, there exist $a \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_E$ such that $z = a + \eta$.

We will show that every positive definite hermitian form over any one of those fields in the theorem is integrally equivalent to a what we call "balanced Hermite-Korkin-Zolotarev (HKZ) reduced" hermitian form, which will be crucial in obtaining our upper bounds for $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$. Our method does not apply to general imaginary quadratic fields. However, an upper bound for $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ when E is an arbitrary imaginary quadratic field, which is in the order of an exponential of n, is obtained by the fourth author in her doctoral thesis [21].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the weakly reduced hermitian forms, and establish upper bounds on the entries of the diagonal part of the Gram matrix of a weakly reduced hermitian form. Section 3 contains some technical lemmas which will lead to the balanced HKZ reduced hermitian forms. In Section 4 we will recall some results on the theory of neighbors of hermitian forms due to Schiemann [31]. The derivation of the final upper bound on $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ will be presented in Section 5. In Section 6 we will apply our results to obtain a much improved lower bound for a function $\phi(s)$ defined using the s-integrable lattices, which were introduced by Conway and Sloane in [2]. An Appendix is given at the end to provide a proof of the finiteness of $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ when E is a CM extension of a totally real number field.

2. Weakly reduced hermitian forms

We start with the more general assumption that $\mathcal{O}$ is a PID with field of fractions E and V is an n-dimensional vector space over E. Let Λ be an $\mathcal{O}$-lattice on V, that is, a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module in V such that $E\Lambda = V$. Let $\mathbf{v}_1$ be a primitive vector in Λ and V_2 be a subspace of V such that $V = E\mathbf{v}_1 \oplus V_2$. If $p_2 : V \longrightarrow V_2$ is the projection of V onto V_2 along the subspace $E\mathbf{v}_1$, then $p_2(\Lambda)$ is a lattice on V_2.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\mathbf{v}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is a basis of $p_2(\Lambda)$ and for $2 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathbf{u}_i \in \Lambda$ is chosen such that $p_2(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{v}_i$, then $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ is a basis of Λ*

Proof. This is essentially [22, Lemma 2.2.4] whose proof works in our slightly more general situation. □

Now, let us restrict our discussion to the case when E is either $\mathbb{Q}$ or one of the nine imaginary quadratic fields with class number 1. Let $*$ be the nontrivial automorphism of E if E is not $\mathbb{Q}$, and the identity map if E is $\mathbb{Q}$. From now on, a hermitian form over E is either a quadratic form when $E = \mathbb{Q}$ or a hermitian form with respect to $*$ if E is not $\mathbb{Q}$. If f is a hermitian form in variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ over E, it can be written as $\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} a_{ij} x_i x_j^*$ where $a_{ij} = a_{ji}^* \in E$. The matrix (a_{ij}) is called the *Gram matrix* associated to f. The discriminant of f, denoted $d(f)$, is the determinant of the Gram matrix associated to f. Let $\mu(f)$ be the (nonzero) minimum of f, i.e., $\mu(f) = \min\{f(\mathbf{x}) : 0 \neq \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}^n\}$.

If $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n$ is a linear form over E, we define

$$\mathfrak{N}(a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n) := (a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n)(a_1^*x_1^* + \cdots + a_n^*x_n^*),$$

which is a hermitian form over E. For any matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with entries in E, $A^* = (a_{ji}^*)$ denotes its "conjugate transpose".

Definition 2.2. A positive definite hermitian form $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ over E is said to be weakly reduced if

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i \, \mathfrak{N}\left(x_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} t_{ij} x_j \right),$$

with

$$h_i = \min_{(x_i, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{O}^{n-i+1} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{j=i}^{n} h_j \, \mathfrak{N}\left(x_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} t_{jk} x_k \right). \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.3. *Every positive definite hermitian form over E is integrally equivalent to a weakly reduced hermitian form.*

Proof. Our proof is similar to the strategy outlined in [22, Page 60] for the case $E = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a hermitian form over E and M be its Gram matrix. Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over E with a basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$. We view V as a hermitian space with the positive definite hermitian map $h : V \times V \longrightarrow E$ such that $h(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)$ is the (i, j) entry of M. Let Λ be the $\mathcal{O}$-lattice spanned by $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n$. For any $\mathbf{v} = x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{e}_n \in \Lambda$, $h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$ is equal to $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

We shall prove that Λ has a basis with respect to which $h(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v})$ is a weakly reduced hermitian form.

Let $0 \neq \mathbf{v}_1 \in \Lambda$ be a minimal vector of Λ, that is, $h(\mathbf{v}_1,\mathbf{v}_1)$ is equal to $\mu(f)$, and V_2 be the orthogonal complement of $E\mathbf{v}_1$ in V. Using Lemma 2.1 and an induction argument, we obtain an orthogonal basis $\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_n$ of V and a basis $\mathbf{u}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{u}_n$ of Λ such that $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$, $\mathbf{v}_i$ is a minimal vector of $p_i(\Lambda)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, where p_i is the orthogonal projection of V onto the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{span}(\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_{i-1})$, and $p_i(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{u}_i$. Moreover, for $2 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{v}_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} t_{ji}\mathbf{v}_j, \quad t_{ij} \in E.$$

Every vector $\mathbf{v}$ in Λ is of the form $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\mathbf{u}_i$, where $x_i \in \mathcal{O}$ for all i, which can be re-written as

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} t_{ij}x_j \right) \mathbf{v}_i. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $h_i = h(\mathbf{v}_i,\mathbf{v}_i)$. Then, since $\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_n$ is an orthogonal basis, we have

$$h(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i\, \mathfrak{N}\left(x_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} t_{ij}x_j \right).$$

It remains to show that each h_i satisfies condition (2.1) in the definition of weakly reduced hermitian forms.

Since a typical element of Λ is of the form given by (2.2), a typical element of $p_i(\Lambda)$ has the form $\mathbf{v}' = \sum_{j=i}^n (x_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^n t_{jk}x_k)\mathbf{v}_j$, with $h(\mathbf{v}',\mathbf{v}') = \sum_{j=i}^n h_j \mathfrak{N}(x_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^n t_{jk}x_k)$. Therefore,

$$h_i = h(\mathbf{v}_i,\mathbf{v}_i) = \min_{\mathbf{v}' \in p_i(\Lambda)\setminus\{0\}} h(\mathbf{v}',\mathbf{v}') = \min_{(x_i,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathcal{O}^{n-i+1}\setminus\{0\}} \sum_{j=i}^{n} h_j\, \mathfrak{N}\left(x_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} t_{jk}x_k \right).$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

The Gram matrix of a weakly reduced hermitian form is of the form X^*HX, where H is a diagonal matrix whose entries are defined by (2.1) and X is a upper triangular unipotent matrix. In the case of $E = \mathbb{Q}$, Hermite-Korkin-Zolotarev reduction ([19], [22] and [36]) implies that X can be chosen in such a way that its entries are bounded by $\frac{1}{2}$. In the proof of Proposition 2.3 we could have shown further that X can be chosen so that its entries are bounded above by the Euclidean minimum β_E. In our derivation of the upper bound on $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$, we will need *good* asymptotic bounds on the entries of both X and X^{-1}. However, the entries of X^{-1} could be huge even if the entries of X are bounded by β_E as described above. For instance, if all entries of X above the diagonal are $-\beta_E$, then the $(1,n)$ entry of X^{-1} is $\beta_E(1+\beta_E)^{n-2}$, which is in the order of an exponential of n. In the next section we will show that one can choose X so that the entries of both X and X^{-1}

are at worst in the order of an exponential of $\sqrt{n}$, and that will be a crucial step in obtaining our main result.

For the rest of this section, we will concentrate on bounding the h_i's defined by (2.1). Let[2]

$$\gamma_{n,E} := \sup_f \frac{\mu(f)}{d(f)^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

where f runs over all positive definite hermitian forms in n variables over E. By [10, Theorem 1], we have $\gamma_{n,E} \leq \sigma_{n,E}$, with

$$\sigma_{n,E} = \begin{cases} 4\,\omega_n^{-\frac{2}{n}} & \text{if } E = \mathbb{Q}; \\ 2\,\omega_{2n}^{-\frac{1}{n}}\,|d_E|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where d_E is the discriminant of E and

$$\omega_n = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\,2^{n+1}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)!}{(n+1)!} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It follows from Stirling's series expansion [35, Page 253] that

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi}\,n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\,e^{-n}\,e^{r_n},$$

where $0 < r_n < \frac{1}{12n}$ for all positive integers n (see [28] for an elementary proof). It follows that

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\,n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\,e^{-n} \leq n! \leq e\,n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\,e^{-n},$$

for all positive integers n. A straightforward calculation using these two inequalities shows that

$$\sigma_{n,E} \leq e^{-1+\frac{1}{n}}\,n^{1+\frac{1}{n}}\,|d_E|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \tag{2.3}$$

Since E will be clear from the context, we simply write σ_n instead of $\sigma_{n,E}$. Then, for any positive definite hermitian form in n variables over E, we have

$$\mu(f) \leq \sigma_n\,d(f)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{2.4}$$

For any positive integer m, let

$$\alpha(m) := \sigma_{m+1}\prod_{k=2}^{m+1}\sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k-1}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ be a weakly reduced positive definite hermitian form over E. Then the coefficients $h_1,\ldots,h_n$ satisfy the inequalities*

$$h_i h_j^{-1} \leq \alpha(j-i)$$

for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

[2]When E is an imaginary quadratic field, our $\gamma_{n,E}$ is the square root of the Hermite-Humbert constant for E defined by Icaza in [10].

Proof. When $E = \mathbb{Q}$, this lemma can be deduced from [34, Lemma 2.4] which works for weakly reduced quadratic forms, not necessary only for HKZ reduced quadratic forms.

For weakly reduced hermitian forms in general, note that, since for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, the form

$$g(x_i, \dots, x_j) := f(0, \dots, 0, x_i, \dots, x_j, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is weakly reduced, we may assume that $i = 1$ and $j = n$. Then, applying the same argument as in the proof of [34, Lemma 2.4], we obtain

$$h_1 \leq \sigma_n^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \sigma_{n-i}^{\frac{1}{n-i-1}} h_n,$$

which is exactly what we need after a simple algebraic manipulation. □

Lemma 2.5. *For any positive integer m,*

$$\alpha(m) \leq D_1 \, |d_E|^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\Sigma(m))} \, e^{\frac{1}{2}(\ln m)^2},$$

where D_1 is an absolute constant and $\Sigma(m) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{m}$.

Proof. When $E = \mathbb{Q}$, this upper bound for $\alpha(m)$ can be found in [34, Corollary 2.5]. In general, by virtue of (2.3), we have

$$\alpha(m) \leq \left(e^{-1}|d_E|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{1+\Sigma(m)} \, e^{\frac{1}{m+1}} (m+1)^{1+\frac{1}{m+1}} \prod_{k=2}^{m+1} (e^{\frac{1}{k}} k^{1+\frac{1}{k}})^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

Now, let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln \left(e^{\frac{1}{m+1}} \, (m+1)^{1+\frac{1}{m+1}} \prod_{k=2}^{m+1} (e^{\frac{1}{k}} k^{1+\frac{1}{k}})^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{m+1}\right) \ln(m+1) + \sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{k-1} \ln k + \sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \ln k + \ln e. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ln(1+m) = \ln m + O(\frac{1}{m})$, the first term becomes

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{m+1}\right) \ln(m+1) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{m+1}\right) \left(\ln m + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right) \leq \ln m + C_1,$$

where C_1 is an absolute constant. For the second term, note that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{k-1} \ln k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\ln k}{k} + O(1) \leq \int_1^m \frac{\ln k}{k} dk + O(1) \leq \frac{(\ln m)^2}{2} + C_2,$$

where C_2 is another absolute constant. At last, there is yet another absolute constant C_3 such that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \ln k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\ln k}{k^2} + O(1) \leq C_3.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha(m) \leq D_1 \left(e^{-1}|d_E|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{1+\Sigma(m)} \, m^{1+\frac{1}{2}\ln m},$$

where $D_1 = e^{C_1+C_2+C_3+1}$. Furthermore, since

$$e^{-(1+\Sigma(m))} < e^{-\ln m} = m^{-1},$$

we obtain

$$\alpha(m) \leq D_1 \, |d_E|^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\Sigma(m))} \, e^{\frac{1}{2}(\ln m)^2}.$$

□

3. Balanced HKZ reduction

We begin this section with the general assumption that E is either $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field, and that $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers in E. Recall that the completion of E with respect to its unique archimedean prime spot is denoted by $\mathbb{K}$. For the sake of convenience, in the subsequent discussion we will drop the subscript and use $\mathcal{C}$ and β to denote the set $\mathcal{C}_E$ and the constant β_E, respectively, introduced in Section 1.

Let n be a positive integer. For any integers i, j between 1 and n, let E_{ij} be the matrix with 1 in the (i, j) position and 0 elsewhere. The set $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is a basis of $M_n(\mathbb{K})$. Let $T(n)$ be the set of upper triangular matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $U(n)$ be the group of unipotent matrices in $T(n)$. When the integer n is clear from the context of discussion, we will simply use T and U to denote $T(n)$ and $U(n)$, respectively. For any nonnegative integer $k < n$, let T_k be the subspace of $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ spanned by the matrices $E_{1,1+k}, \ldots, E_{n-k,n}$. Note that T_0 is the subspace containing all the diagonal matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{K})$, and for $k > 0$, T_k is made up of matrices that have 0 everywhere outside of one of the sub-diagonals which stays above the main diagonal. For any integer $k \geq n$, we set T_k to be zero. Then

$$T = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} T_k, \quad \text{and} \quad T_k T_\ell \subseteq T_{k+\ell}.$$

Thus, T can be viewed as a graded ring over the natural numbers. For any nonnegative integer k, let

$$A_k := \bigoplus_{i \geq k} T_i$$

which is a two-sided ideal of T. It is straightforward to check that $A_k A_\ell \subseteq A_{k+\ell}$ and $A_k = T_k + A_{k+1}$. For any $X, Y \in T$ such that $X \equiv Y \mod A_k$, there is a unique $Z \in T_k$ such that $X \equiv Y + Z \mod A_{k+1}$. Also of note is that U is the subset of T in which every matrix is congruent to I mod A_1.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $X \in U$, there exists $Y \in U$ with entries in $\mathcal{O}$ such that XY can be written as $XY = \exp(Z_1) \cdots \exp(Z_{n-1})$ where for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $Z_k \in T_k$ and the entries of Z_k are in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. For the sake of convenience, we set Y_0 and Z_0 to be the zero matrix in U. We will show by induction that for any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, there are matrices $Y_0, Z_0, Y_1, Z_1, \ldots, Y_k, Z_k$ which satisfy the conditions:

(a) $Y_i, Z_i \in T_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$;
(b) Y_i has entries in $\mathcal{O}$ and Z_i has entries in $\mathcal{C}$ for any $0 \leq i \leq k$;
(c) $X(I + Y_1 + \cdots + Y_k) \equiv \exp(Z_1) \cdots \exp(Z_k) \mod A_{k+1}$.

Since $A_n = 0$, the matrix $Y := I + Y_1 + \cdots + Y_{n-1}$ is what we need.

The base case $k = 0$ requires no proof; it states exactly the fact that $X \equiv I \mod A_1$. Suppose that we have constructed $Y_0, Z_0, Y_1, Z_1, \ldots, Y_{k-1}, Z_{k-1}$ which satisfy all the above conditions. Let

$$A = X(I + Y_1 + \cdots + Y_{k-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \exp(Z_1) \cdots \exp(Z_{k-1}).$$

It is clear that $A, B \in U$ and $A \equiv B \mod A_k$. Hence there is some $X_k \in T_k$ such that $A \equiv B + X_k \mod A_{k+1}$. By the remark made in the Introduction, there exists $Y_k \in T_k$ with entries in $\mathcal{O}$ such that the entries of $Z_k := X_k + Y_k$ are in $\mathcal{C}$. In addition,

$$A + Y_k \equiv B + X_k + Y_k \equiv B + Z_k \mod A_{k+1}.$$

It is clear that Y_k and Z_k satisfy conditions (a) and (b). It follows from $Y_k \in A_k$ and $X \equiv I \mod A_1$ that $XY_k \equiv Y_k \mod A_{k+1}$. Similarly $BZ_k \equiv Z_k \mod A_{k+1}$. Thus

$$A + Y_k \equiv A + XY_k \equiv X(I + Y_1 + \cdots + Y_k) \mod A_{k+1}$$

and

$$B + Z_k \equiv B + BZ_k \equiv B(I + Z_k) \mod A_{k+1}.$$

Note that $\exp(Z_k) = I + Z_k + Z_k^2/2! + \cdots \equiv I + Z_k \mod A_{k+1}$, since $Z_k^l \in A_{kl} \subseteq A_{k+1}$ for $l > 1$. Thus $B + Z_k \equiv B\exp(Z_k) \mod A_{k+1}$. It follows that

$$X(I + Y_1 + \cdots + Y_k) \equiv A + Y_k \equiv B + Z_k \equiv \exp(Z_1) \cdots \exp(Z_k) \mod A_{k+1},$$

which is condition (c). The induction is now complete. □

For any nonnegative integer m, let $c(m)$ be the coefficient of x^m in the Maclaurin series of $\exp(\frac{\beta x}{1-x})$. For two matrices $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$, we write "$A \preceq B$" if $|a_{ij}| \leq |b_{ij}|$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let A, B, C, D be matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{K})$. If $A \preceq B$, $C \preceq D$, and the entries of B and D are nonnegative real numbers, then $AC \preceq BD$ and $A + C \preceq B + D$.*

Proof. This is clear. □

Lemma 3.3. *For any $X \in U$, there exists $Y \in U$ with entries in $\mathcal{O}$ such that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, the absolute values of the (i, j) entries of both XY and $(XY)^{-1}$ are less than or equal to $c(j - i)$.*

Proof. Let X be a matrix in U, and $Y, Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n-1}$ be the matrices obtained from Lemma 3.1. Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{i,i+1}$. A simple induction argument shows that for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $D^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} E_{i,i+k}$ which is in T_k; in particular $D^n = 0$. Since Z_k is also in T_k and the entries of Z_k are in $\mathcal{C}$, $Z_k \preceq \beta D^k$. By Lemma 3.2, $Z_k^\ell \preceq \beta^\ell D^{k\ell}$ for every $\ell \geq 0$ and hence $I + Z_k + \frac{1}{2}Z_k^2 + \cdots \preceq I + \beta D^k + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 D^{2k} + \cdots$, i.e. $\exp(Z_k) \preceq \exp(\beta D^k)$. Moreover, $\exp(Z_1) \cdots \exp(Z_{n-1}) \preceq \exp(\beta D) \cdots \exp(\beta D^{n-1})$ and thus

$$XY \preceq \exp(\beta D) \cdots \exp(\beta D^{n-1}) = \exp(\beta(D + \cdots + D^{n-1})) = \exp\left(\beta D(I - D)^{-1}\right).$$

Here $D(I-D)^{-1} = D + D^2 + \cdots + D^{n-1}$, since $D^k = 0$ when $k \geq n$. Note that

$$\exp\left(\beta D(I-D)^{-1}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c(m)D^m = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} c(m)D^m = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} c(m) \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} E_{i,i+m}.$$

Therefore, the absolute value of the $(i, i+m)$ entry of XY is less than or equal to $c(m)$. Equivalently, the absolute value of the (i,j) entry of XY is less than or equal to $c(j-i)$.

As for $(XY)^{-1}$, notice that $(XY)^{-1} = \exp(-Z_{n-1}) \cdots \exp(-Z_1)$, and that $-Z_k \preceq \beta D^k$. The proof follows immediately. □

We will be interested in an explicit upper bound for $c(m)$, which is given in the next lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a constant D_2, depending only on E, such that*

$$c(m) \leq D_2\, e^{2\sqrt{\beta m}}$$

for any $m \geq 1$.

Proof. This follows from [27]; see also [16, Page 547]. □

Now, let E be $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field with class number 1. Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a positive definite hermitian form over E. By Proposition 2.3, we may assume that $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is already weakly reduced, and that its associated Gram matrix is of the form X^*HX, where $X \in U(n)$ and $H = \operatorname{diag}(h_1, \ldots, h_n)$. For any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, there exists a function α defined by (2.5) such that $h_i h_j^{-1} \leq \alpha(j-i)$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$h_i h_j^{-1} \leq \alpha(j-i) \leq \overline{\alpha}(n) := D_1\, |d_E|^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\Sigma(n))}\, e^{\frac{1}{2}(\ln(n))^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

where D_1 is an absolute constant and $\Sigma(n) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}$.

By Lemma 3.3, we may further assume that the absolute values of the (i,j) entries of *both* X and X^{-1} are bounded above by $c(j-i)$, the coefficient of x^{j-i} in the Maclaurin series of $e^{\frac{\beta x}{1-x}}$, and by Lemma 3.4

$$c(j-i) \leq D_2\, e^{2\sqrt{\beta(j-i)}} =: \overline{c}(j-i) \tag{3.2}$$

where D_2 is constant depending only on E. As a result, the absolute values of the entries of both X and X^{-1} are at worst in the order of an exponential of $\sqrt{n}$. We will say that $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is *balanced HKZ reduced* if it is of the form we just described. Note that the function $\overline{c}$ is an increasing function of the natural numbers.

4. Neighbors of hermitian lattices

In this short section we will recall some results in the theory of neighbors of integral hermitian forms due mainly to Schiemann [31] which is a generalization of the theory of neighbors of integral quadratic forms developed by Kneser [15]. Let E be an arbitrary imaginary quadratic field. We will adopt the geometric language of hermitian spaces and lattices in this section. Unexplained notations and terminologies will generally be those of O'Meara [26] and Schiemann [31]. The readers are also referred to [4], [5] and [11] for the local theory of hermitian lattices,

and [33] for the global theory. A lattice shall always mean a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module on a positive definite hermitian space over E. Whenever it is clear from the context of discussion, we will simply use h to denote the hermitian map on a hermitian space. The scale of a lattice L is the fractional ideal $\mathfrak{s}(L)$ generated by $h(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ for all $\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}\in L$.

Given two lattices N and L, we say that N is represented by L if there exists an isometry sending N into L. For any integer $r>0$, let I_r denote the free lattice which has an orthonormal basis. We will be particularly interested in lattices that can be represented by some I_r. If a free lattice N is one of these lattices and $\{\mathbf{v}_1,\dots,\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for N, then the hermitian form $\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} h(\mathbf{v}_i,\mathbf{v}_j)x_ix_j^*$ is a sum of the norms of r linear forms over $\mathcal{O}$.

Let L be an integral lattice (i.e. $\mathfrak{s}(L)\subseteq\mathcal{O}$) on a hermitian space V over E and $\mathfrak{P}$ be a nonzero prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ which does not divide the volume of L. An integral lattice M on V is called a $\mathfrak{P}$-neighbor of L if $M/(L\cap M)\cong\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{P}$ and $L/(L\cap M)\cong\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{P}^*$. It is clear from the definition that $\mathfrak{P}M\subseteq L$ if M is a $\mathfrak{P}$-neighbor of L. Let $\mathfrak{N}(L,\mathfrak{P})$ be the set of lattices M on V such that there exist isometry ϕ of V and a sequence of lattices $L_0=L,L_1,\dots,L_k=\phi(M)$ such that L_{i+1} is a $\mathfrak{P}$-neighbor of L_i for $i=0,\dots,k-1$. From [31, Corollary 2.7], we know that if the rank of L is at least 3, then the special genus of L (see [31, Definition 1.7]), $\mathrm{gen}^0(L)$, is contained in $\mathfrak{N}(L,\mathfrak{P})$. It then follows from [31, Lemma 2.8] that when $m\ge 3$ is odd,

$$\mathrm{gen}^0(I_m)\subseteq\mathfrak{N}(I_m,\mathfrak{P})\subseteq\mathrm{gen}(I_m).$$

This implies that when $m\ge 3$ is odd, any $M\in\mathrm{gen}^0(L)$ must have an isometric copy which is at most $\mathfrak{h}_m$ steps away from I_m in $\mathfrak{N}(I_m,\mathfrak{P})$. Here $\mathfrak{h}_m$ is the class number of I_m. As a result, $\mathfrak{P}^{\mathfrak{h}_m}M$ must be represented by I_m.

Let σ be the positive integer defined by

$$\sigma=\begin{cases}2\mathfrak{h}_3 & \text{if 2 is inert in } E;\\ \mathfrak{h}_3 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\tag{4.1}$$

An explicit formula for $\mathfrak{h}_3$ can be found in [6].

Lemma 4.1. *Let N be a lattice of rank 2. If $\mathfrak{s}(N)\subseteq 2^\sigma\mathcal{O}$ where σ is defined as in* (4.1)*, then N is represented by I_3.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ lying above 2. Then the lattice $\mathfrak{P}^{-\sigma}N$ is integral, and it follows from by the local theory of hermitian lattices that $\mathfrak{P}^{-\sigma}N$ is represented by some lattice K in $\mathrm{gen}^0(I_3)$. Since $\mathfrak{P}^\sigma K$ is represented by I_3, N is represented by I_3 as well. □

5. Main results

Let E be $\mathbb{Q}$ or an arbitrary imaginary quadratic field, $\mathcal{O}$ be its ring of integers, and $\mathbb{K}$ be the completion of E with respect to its unique archimedean prime spot. We define an element ω of E as follows. If $E=\mathbb{Q}$, let ω be 1. Otherwise, if

$E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell})$, ℓ squarefree, let

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-\ell} & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1, 2 \bmod 4; \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{-\ell}}{2} & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

A matrix H with entries in $\mathbb{K}$ is called hermitian if $H = H^*$. Every hermitian matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}$ is the Gram matrix of an integral hermitian form over $\mathcal{O}$. Let A be a hermitian matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}$. We say that A is represented by I_r if the hermitian form associated to A is the sum of the norms of r linear forms over $\mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $A = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, ..., a_n)$ be a diagonal matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, and $S = (s_{ij})$ be a hermitian matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{K})$. Suppose that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij}$ with $t_{ij} > 0$ and $t_{ij}t_{ji} \geq |s_{ij}|^2$ for all j.*

1. *The hermitian matrix $A + S$ is positive semidefinite.*
2. *Suppose that t_{ij}, s_{ij} are in $\mathcal{O}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and that $t_{ii} + s_{ii} \geq 2^\sigma(n-1)(\mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) + 4)$ for all i, where σ is defined by (4.1) if $E \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and $\sigma = 0$ otherwise. Then $A + S$ is represented by $I_{2^{\sigma+2}n^2}$.*

Proof. (1): For each i, we have $t_{ii}t_{ii} \geq |s_{ii}|^2$; hence $t_{ii} \geq |s_{ii}|$. It follows that

$$a_i + s_{ii} = \sum_j t_{ij} + s_{ii} = \sum_{j \neq i} t_{ij} + (s_{ii} + t_{ii}) \geq \sum_{j \neq i} t_{ij}.$$

Consequently, we can write $a_i + s_{ii}$ as $\sum_{j \neq i} t'_{ij}$, where $t'_{ij} \geq t_{ij}$. Furthermore, if t_{ij} and s_{ii} are rational integers, then t'_{ij} are rational integers as well. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A + S &= \sum_i a_i E_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} s_{ij} E_{ij} \\ &= \sum_i (a_i + s_{ii}) E_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} s_{ij} E_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{j \neq i} t'_{ij} E_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} s_{ij} E_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i<j} (t'_{ij} E_{ii} + t'_{ji} E_{jj} + s_{ij} E_{ij} + s_{ji} E_{ji}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $i < j$, $t'_{ij}E_{ii} + t'_{ji}E_{jj} + s_{ij}E_{ij} + s_{ji}E_{ji}$ is an $n \times n$ positive semidefinite hermitian matrix of rank at most 2 because $t'_{ij}t'_{ji} \geq t_{ij}t_{ji} \geq |s_{ij}|^2 = s_{ij}s_{ji}$. Hence $A + S$ is a positive semidefinite hermitian matrix.
(2): If $E = \mathbb{Q}$, we know that I_5 is 2-universal, i.e., it represents all positive semidefinite integral binary quadratic forms over $\mathbb{Z}$. From part (1), each

$$t'_{ij}E_{ii} + t'_{ji}E_{jj} + s_{ij}E_{ij} + s_{ji}E_{ji}$$

is positive semidefinite and integral, and hence it must be represented by I_5. It follows that $A + S$ is represented by $I_{\frac{5}{2}n^2 - \frac{5}{2}n}$ in this case.

For the rest of the proof, let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell})$, ℓ squarefree, be an imaginary quadratic field. Suppose that $A = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $S = (s_{ij})$ are matrices which

satisfy the given conditions. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{a + b\omega : a, b \in \mathbb{Z},\ -(2^{\sigma+1} - 1) \le a, b \le 2^{\sigma+1} - 1\}.$$

For any $1 \le i < j \le n$, there exist $p_{ij} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p_{ij} \equiv s_{ij} \bmod 2^\sigma\mathcal{O}$, and

(a) $s_{ij} - p_{ij} = 2^\sigma q_{ij}$ for some $q_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}$,
(b) $|s_{ij}|^2 \ge |2^\sigma q_{ij}|^2$,
(c) $p_{ij} = p_{ji}^*$ and $q_{ij} = q_{ji}^*$.

For any $p_{ij} = a + b\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ where $i < j$, let n_{ij} be the positive integer $|a| + \lfloor\frac{|b|}{2}\rfloor \mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) + \lceil\frac{|b|}{2}\rceil$ and n_{ji} be the positive integer $|a| + \lfloor\frac{|b|}{2}\rfloor + \lceil\frac{|b|}{2}\rceil \mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega)$. Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_{ij} & p_{ij} \\ p_{ji} & n_{ji} \end{pmatrix} = TT^*, \tag{5.1}$$

where T is the following $2 \times (|a| + \lfloor\frac{|b|}{2}\rfloor + \lceil\frac{|b|}{2}\rceil)$ matrix

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_a & \cdots & \epsilon_a & \epsilon_b\omega & \cdots & \epsilon_b\omega & \epsilon_b & \cdots & \epsilon_b \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \omega^* & \cdots & \omega^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, ϵ_a and ϵ_b are the signs of the integers a and b, respectively. In particular, the 2×2 matrix in (5.1) is represented by $I_{|a|+|b|}$, and hence it is represented by $I_{2^{\sigma+2}-2}$ as well.

Let $\tilde{n}$ be the largest of the n_{ij}'s. Note that $\tilde{n} \le 2^{\sigma+1} + 2^\sigma + 2^\sigma \mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega)$. Fix an index i. By hypothesis,

$$a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{ij}$$

where each t_{ij} are positive integers. Then, for any $j \ne i$, $t_{ij} + \tilde{n} = n_{ij} + r_{ij}$ for some positive integer r_{ij}, and we write $r_{ij} = 2^\sigma t'_{ij} - \delta_{ij}$ for some integers t'_{ij}, δ_{ij} with $0 \le \delta_{ij} < 2^\sigma$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} a_i + s_{ii} = t_{ii} + s_{ii} + \sum_{j\ne i} t_{ij} &= t_{ii} + s_{ii} - \tilde{n}(n-1) + \sum_{j\ne i}(t_{ij} + \tilde{n}) \\ &= t_{ii} + s_{ii} - \tilde{n}(n-1) + \sum_{j\ne i}(n_{ij} + r_{ij}) \\ &= b_i + \sum_{j\ne i} n_{ij} + \sum_{j\ne i} 2^\sigma t'_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &= t_{ii} + s_{ii} - \tilde{n}(n-1) - \sum_{j\ne i}\delta_{ij} \\ &\ge t_{ii} + s_{ii} - \big((n-1)(2^{\sigma+1} + 2^\sigma + 2^\sigma \mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega)) + (n-1)2^\sigma\big) \\ &= t_{ii} + s_{ii} - 2^\sigma(n-1)(\mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) + 4) \\ &\ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also $2^\sigma t'_{ij} \geq r_{ij} = t_{ij} + \tilde{n} - n_{ij} \geq t_{ij}$ for $j \neq i$. Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} A + S &= \sum_i a_i E_{ii} + \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} s_{ij} E_{ij} \\ &= \sum_i (t_{ii} + s_{ii}) E_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} t_{ij} E_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} 2^\sigma q_{ij} E_{ij} + \sum_{j \neq i} p_{ij} E_{ij} \\ &= \sum_i b_i E_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} 2^\sigma t'_{ij} E_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} 2^\sigma q_{ij} E_{ij} + \sum_{j \neq i} n_{ij} E_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} p_{ij} E_{ij} \\ &= \operatorname{diag}(b_1, ..., b_n) + \sum_{i<j} (2^\sigma t'_{ij} E_{ii} + 2^\sigma q_{ij} E_{ij} + 2^\sigma q_{ji} E_{ji} + 2^\sigma t'_{ji} E_{jj}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i<j} (n_{ij} E_{ii} + p_{ij} E_{ij} + p_{ji} E_{ji} + n_{ji} E_{jj}). \end{aligned}$$

Each of the $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ hermitian matrices $(n_{ij}E_{ii} + p_{ij}E_{ij} + p_{ji}E_{ji} + n_{ji}E_{jj})$ is represented by $I_{2^{\sigma+2}-2}$ as indicated in (5.1). The diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ is represented by I_{4n} by Lagrange's Four-Square Theorem. Each of the $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ matrices $(2^\sigma t'_{ij} E_{ii} + 2^\sigma q_{ij} E_{ij} + 2^\sigma q_{ji} E_{ji} + 2^\sigma t'_{ji} E_{jj})$ is an $n \times n$ positive semidefinite hermitian matrix of rank at most 2 with entries divisible by 2^σ and hence it is represented by I_3 by Lemma 4.1. The proof is now completed since $4n + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(2^{\sigma+2}+1) \leq 2^{\sigma+2}n^2$. □

Corollary 5.2. *If $S = (s_{ij})$ is a hermitian matrix with $|s_{ij}| \leq \frac{1}{n}$, then $I_n + S$ is positive semidefinite.*

Proof. We apply Lemma 5.1(a) to the case when A is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Notice that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij}$ with $t_{ij} = \frac{1}{n}$ and $t_{ij}t_{ji} = \frac{1}{n^2} \geq |s_{ij}|^2$. □

The following proposition is a consequence of all the results we have accumulated thus far. It will be crucial in deriving our upper bounds on $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let E be $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field with class number 1. There is a function*

$$G_E(n) = D_3 \, |d_E|^{2(1+\Sigma(n))} \, n^{10} e^{(4+4\sqrt{2})\sqrt{\beta n} + 2(\ln n)^2},$$

where D_3 is a constant depending only on E, such that every positive definite integral hermitian form f in $n \geq 2$ variables with $\mu(f) \geq G_E(n)$ can be represented by $I_{2^{\sigma+2}n^2+n}$.

Proof. The strategy of the proof is as follows. Let f be a positive definite hermitian form in n variables. We may assume that f is already balanced HKZ reduced. Let M be the associated Gram matrix. We take a diagonal matrix $A = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ with all the a_i as large as possible such that $M - A$ remains positive semidefinite. Then we take $P \in M_n(\mathcal{O})$ such that P^*P approximates $M - A$ well. Write $M - A$ as $P^*P + S$, or equivalently, $M = A + S + P^*P$. We will show that, for a suitable choice of D_3, if $\mu(f) \geq G_E(n)$, then A and S satisfy the conditions in Lemma 5.1. As a result, $A + S$ will be represented by $I_{2^{\sigma+2}n^2}$. Since P^*P is clearly represented by I_n, M will be represented by $I_{2^{\sigma+2}n^2+n}$.

For the rest of the proof, we will take D_3 large enough so that

$$D_3 \geq \max\{\beta^2,\ D_1^2\, D_2^2,\ 144\beta^2 D_1^4\, D_2^6,\ 2^{\sigma+2}(\mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega)+4)\, D_1^2\, D_2^2\} \tag{5.2}$$

where D_1 and D_2 are the constants appeared in (3.1) and (3.2) respectively.

Since f is balanced HKZ reduced, $M = H[X] := X^*HX$, where H is a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(h_1, \ldots, h_n)$, $X \in U(n)$, and $h_1 = \mu(f)$. By (3.1), $\overline{\alpha}(n)h_i \geq h_1$ for all $i > 1$. Hence the hypothesis implies that

$$\overline{\alpha}(n)h_i \geq h_1 = \mu(f) \geq G_E(n) = D_3\, |d_E|^{2(1+\Sigma(n))}\, n^{10} e^{((4+4\sqrt{2})\sqrt{\beta n}+2(\ln n)^2}. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\sqrt{H}$ be $\mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{h_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{h_n})$, the "square root" of H. Then $M = I_n[\sqrt{H}X]$, and hence

$$M - A = \left(I_n - A[X^{-1}\sqrt{H}^{-1}]\right)[\sqrt{H}X].$$

This shows that $M - A$ is positive semidefinite if and only if $I_n - A[X^{-1}\sqrt{H}^{-1}]$ is.

For any $1 \leq k \leq n$, let

$$a_k := \left\lfloor \frac{1}{n^2}\, \overline{\alpha}(n)^{-1}\, \overline{c}(n-k)^{-2} h_k \right\rfloor.$$

Let y_{ij} and b_{ij} be the (i,j) entries of X^{-1} and $A[X^{-1}]$, respectively. Suppose that $1 \leq k \leq \min\{i,j\}$. By (3.1), we have $\overline{\alpha}(n)^{-1}h_k \leq \sqrt{h_i}\sqrt{h_j}$. At the same time, (3.2) shows that $|y_{ki}| \leq \overline{c}(i-k) \leq \overline{c}(n-k)$ for each i. Therefore,

$$|b_{ij}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} |a_k|\, |y_{ki}^*|\, |y_{kj}| \leq \frac{1}{n}\sqrt{h_i}\sqrt{h_j}.$$

The (i,j) entry of $A[X^{-1}\sqrt{H}^{-1}]$ is $\sqrt{h_i}^{-1} b_{ij} \sqrt{h_j}^{-1}$, with absolute value $\leq \frac{1}{n}$. Thus, by Corollary 5.2, $I_n - A[X^{-1}\sqrt{H}^{-1}]$ and $M - A$ are positive semidefinite.

By the Gram-Schmidt process, we can find an upper triangular matrix $N = (n_{ij})$ such that

$$I_n - A[X^{-1}\sqrt{H}^{-1}] = N^*N.$$

Now, $I_n - N^*N = A[X^{-1}\sqrt{H}^{-1}]$ is positive semidefinite whose (j,j) entry is $1 - \sum_{i \leq j} |n_{ij}|^2$. This implies that $|n_{ij}| \leq 1$ for any $i \leq j$.

Let $W = (w_{ij})$ be the matrix $N\sqrt{H}X$, such that $W^*W = N^*N[\sqrt{H}X] = M - A$. Note that W is an upper triangular matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{K})$. Let $P = (p_{ij})$ be an upper triangular matrix in $M_n(\mathcal{O})$ such that the matrix $Q = (q_{ij}) = W - P$ has entries in $\mathcal{C}$. Then

$$P^*P = (W-Q)^*(W-Q) = M - A - Q^*W - W^*Q + Q^*Q$$

and $M = P^*P + A + S$, where $S := Q^*W + W^*Q - Q^*Q$ which is a hermitian matrix with entries in $\mathcal{O}$.

The next step is to estimate the size of the entries of S. Let x_{ij} be the (i,j) entry of X. Since $W = N\sqrt{H}X$, by (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$|w_{ij}| = \left|\sum_{k=i}^{j} n_{ik}\sqrt{h_k}\, x_{kj}\right| \leq \sum_{k=i}^{j} \sqrt{h_k}\, |x_{kj}| \leq n\, \overline{c}(j)\, (\overline{\alpha}(n)h_j)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for any $1 \le i \le j \le n$. Then the (i,j) entry of Q^*W is

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} \overline{q}_{ki} w_{kj} \right| \le n^2 \, \beta \, \overline{c}(j) \, (\overline{\alpha}(n) h_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $|q_{ij}| \le \beta$, the absolute values of the entries of Q^*Q are bounded above by $\beta^2 n$. By (5.2) and (5.3), we have $n\overline{c}(j)(\overline{\alpha}(n)h_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \sqrt{G_E(n)} \ge \beta$. This shows that for any $1 \le i \le j \le n$,

$$|s_{ij}| \le 2n^2 \, \beta \, \overline{c}(j) \, (\overline{\alpha}(n) h_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} + n\beta^2 \le 3n^2 \, \beta \, \overline{c}(j) \, (\overline{\alpha}(n) h_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{5.4}$$

For each $1 \le i \le n$, we can write $a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{ij}$. By (5.2) and (5.3),

$$\overline{\alpha}(n) h_i \ge D_1^2 \, D_2^2 \, n^3 \, |d_E|^{1+\Sigma(n)} \, e^{4\sqrt{\beta n}} \ge n^3 \, \overline{\alpha}(n)^2 \overline{c}(n)^2 \ge n^3 \overline{\alpha}(n)^2 \overline{c}(n-i)^2.$$

Therefore, $\frac{1}{n^3} \overline{\alpha}(n)^{-1} \, \overline{c}(n-i)^{-2} \, h_i \ge 1$, and thus

$$t_{ij} \ge \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{n} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{n^3} \overline{\alpha}(n)^{-1} \, \overline{c}(n-i)^{-2} \, h_i \right\rfloor \ge \frac{1}{2n^3} \overline{\alpha}(n)^{-1} \, \overline{c}(n-i)^{-2} \, h_i.$$

By (5.2), (5.3), and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij} t_{ji} &\ge \frac{1}{4n^6} \, \overline{\alpha}(n)^{-2} \, \overline{c}(n-i)^{-2} \, \overline{c}(n-j)^{-2} \, h_i h_j \\ &\ge 4|s_{ij}|^2 \, \frac{\overline{\alpha}(n) h_i}{144 \, n^{10} \beta^2 \, \overline{c}(j)^2 \, \overline{c}(n-j)^2 \, \overline{c}(n-i)^2 \, \overline{\alpha}(n)^4} \\ &\ge 4|s_{ij}|^2 \, \frac{D_1^4 \, D_2^6 |d_E|^{2(1+\Sigma(n))} e^{(4+4\sqrt{2})\sqrt{\beta n} + 2(\ln n)^2}}{\overline{c}(n)^2 \, \overline{\alpha}(n)^4 \, \overline{c}(j)^2 \, \overline{c}(n-j)^2} \\ &\ge 4|s_{ij}|^2 \, \frac{D_2^4 \, e^{4\sqrt{2\beta n}}}{\overline{c}(j)^2 \, \overline{c}(n-j)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

But by (3.2), $\overline{c}(n-j)^2 \, \overline{c}(j)^2 \le D_2^4 \, e^{4\sqrt{\beta(n-j)} + 4\sqrt{\beta j}}$, and the right hand side of this inequality is maximized at $j = \frac{n}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\overline{c}(n-j)^2 \, \overline{c}(j)^2 \le D_2^4 \, e^{4\sqrt{2\beta n}},$$

and hence

$$t_{ij} t_{ji} \ge 4|s_{ij}|^2 \ge |s_{ij}|^2. \tag{5.5}$$

We may also conclude from the first inequality in (5.5) that $|s_{ii}| \le \frac{1}{2} t_{ii}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ii} + s_{ii} \ge \frac{1}{2} t_{ii} \ge \frac{1}{4n^3} \overline{\alpha}(n)^{-1} \overline{c}(n-i)^{-2} h_i &\ge \frac{1}{4n^3} \overline{\alpha}(n)^{-1} \overline{c}(n)^{-2} h_i \\ &= \frac{1}{4n^3} \overline{\alpha}(n)^{-2} \overline{c}(n)^{-2} \, \overline{\alpha}(n) h_i, \end{aligned}$$

which is at least $2^{\sigma}(n-1)(\mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) + 4)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\alpha}(n) h_i \ge G_E(n) &\ge 2^{\sigma+2}(n-1)(\mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) + 4) n^3 D_1^2 D_2^2 |d_E|^{1+\Sigma(n)} e^{4\sqrt{\beta n} + (\ln n)^2} \\ &= 2^{\sigma+2}(n-1)(\mathrm{N}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) + 4) n^3 \overline{\alpha}(n)^2 \overline{c}(n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We may now apply Lemma 5.1(2) to complete the proof. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let E be $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field with class number 1. For any positive integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) \leq \max\left\{g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n-1) + G_E(n), \quad 2^{\sigma+2}n^2 + n\right\}$$

where $G_E(n)$ is the function defined in Proposition 5.3.

Proof. Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a hermitian form which is represented by I_r for some positive integer r. If $\mu(f) \geq G_E(n)$, then by Proposition 5.3 f is represented by $I_{2^{\sigma+2}n^2+n}$.

Suppose that $\mu(f) < G_E(n)$. We may assume that f is balanced HKZ reduced and $\mu(f) = f(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. There are r linear forms $\ell_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, \ell_r(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ over $\mathcal{O}$ such that

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mathfrak{N}(\ell_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n)).$$

If $b_1, \ldots, b_r$ are the coefficients of x_1 in $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r$ respectively, then at most $\lfloor G_E(n) \rfloor$ of them are nonzero. Without loss of generality, we can write

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor G_E(n) \rfloor} \mathfrak{N}(\ell_j(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) + \sum_{j=\lfloor G_E(n) \rfloor + 1}^{r} \mathfrak{N}(\ell_j(x_2, \ldots, x_n)).$$

The second sum is a hermitian form in $n-1$ variables represented by $I_{r-\lfloor G_E(n) \rfloor}$, and hence it is represented by $I_{g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n-1)}$. The proposition follows immediately. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By increasing, if necessary, the constant D_3 from the definition of $G_E(n)$, we may assume that $G_E(n) > 2^{\sigma+2}n^2 + n$ for all $n \geq 2$. Then, by Proposition 5.4,

$$g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) \leq \sum_{j=2}^{n} G_E(j) + g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(1), \qquad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

It is clear that $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(1) \leq 4$ by virtue of Lagrange's Four-Square Theorem. As a result,

$$g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) \leq nG_E(n) = D_3 \, |d_E|^{2(1+\Sigma(n))} \, n^{11} e^{(4+4\sqrt{2})\sqrt{\beta n} + 2(\ln n)^2}.$$

It is easy to show that $\Sigma(n) \leq 1 + \ln n$ for all integers $n \geq 1$. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $|d_E|^{2(1+\Sigma(n))} \, n^{11} e^{2(\ln n)^2} = O(e^{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}})$. It follows that

$$g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) = O\left(e^{(k+\varepsilon)\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where $k = (4 + 4\sqrt{2})\sqrt{\beta}$. □

6. s-INTEGRABLE LATTICES

In [2], Conway and Sloane introduce the following notion of s-integrable $\mathbb{Z}$-lattices. Let s be a positive integer. A $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice L is called s-integrable if $\sqrt{s}L$ can be represented by a sum of squares. Define

$$\phi(s) := \min\{n : \exists \ \mathbb{Z}\text{-lattice } L \text{ of rank } n \text{ such that } \sqrt{s}L \notin \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)\}.$$

Thus, $\phi(s)$ is the smallest positive integer n for which there exists a positive definite integral quadratic form f in n variables such that sf cannot be written as a sum of squares of linear forms with integral coefficients. The results of Ko and Mordell mentioned in Section 1 imply that $\phi(1) = 6$. It is known [2] that $\phi(2) = 12$ and $\phi(3) = 14$. Upper and lower bounds for $\phi(s)$ for all s can also be found in [2]. In particular,

$$\phi(s) \geq 2\left(\frac{\ln\ln s}{\ln\ln\ln s}(1+o(1))\right). \tag{6.1}$$

Kim and Oh later [14] improve this lower bound to

$$\phi(s) \geq \frac{\ln s}{8\ln\ln s} \quad \text{for large } s. \tag{6.2}$$

It is clear that how one can define s-integrable hermitian lattices and generalize the definition of $\phi(s)$ for hermitian lattices. To this end, let E be either $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field, and $\mathcal{O}$ be its ring of integers. We define

$$\phi^*_{\mathcal{O}}(s) := \min\{n : \exists\ \mathcal{O}\text{-lattice } L \text{ of rank } n \text{ such that } \sqrt{s}L \notin \Sigma^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)\}.$$

Clearly, $\phi^*_{\mathbb{Z}}(s) = \phi(s)$ by definition. We now apply our results to obtain a lower bound for $\phi^*_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ when E has class number 1, which is an improvement of both (6.1) and (6.2) in the case when $E = \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let E be $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field with class number 1. Then*

$$\phi^*_{\mathcal{O}}(s) \geq \left(\frac{\ln s}{(4+4\sqrt{2})\sqrt{\beta_E}}\right)^2 (1+o(1)),$$

where β_E is the constant defined in (1.1).

Proof. If f is a positive definite hermitian form in n variables which is not s-integrable, then sf cannot be written as a sum of norms. Then, by Proposition 5.3, we have

$$s \leq \mu(sf) \leq G_E(n) = O\left(e^{(k_E+\epsilon)\sqrt{n}}\right) \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0,$$

where $k_E = (4+4\sqrt{2})\sqrt{\beta_E}$. This can also be written as $s \leq e^{k_E\sqrt{n}(1+o(1))}$ or $\ln s \leq k_E(\sqrt{n}(1+o(1))$, whence $n \geq \left(\frac{\ln s}{k_E}\right)^2 (1+o(1))$. The theorem now follows from the definition of $\phi^*_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$. □

Appendix A. Finiteness of $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$

Let E/F be a CM extension, $*$ be the nontrivial automorphism in $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$, and $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in E. Every hermitian form discussed in this appendix is defined with respect to $*$. We will once again adopt the geometric language of hermitian spaces and lattices used in Section 4. Let $\Sigma^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ be the set of integral hermitian lattices which are represented by sums of norms, and define

$$g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) = \min\{g :\ \text{every hermitian lattice in } \Sigma^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) \text{ is represented by } I_g\}.$$

This definition matches the one we introduced in Section 1 since every lattice is free when $\mathcal{O}$ is a PID, and isometry classes of free lattices correspond to equivalence

classes of hermitian forms. We will give a brief explanation of why $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ is finite. The argument is essentially the same as the one used in [9, Proposition 6] to show that $g_{\mathcal{O}}(n)$ is finite when $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of a totally real number field.[3]

Suppose that N is a lattice of rank n which is represented by I_r for some r. We may assume that N is positive definite. Let $\mu(N)$ be $\min\{\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(h(x)) : 0 \neq x \in N\}$. By the local representation theory of hermitian lattices ([5] and [11]), we see that N is represented by the genus of I_{2n+1}. Let $c = c(2n+1)$ be the constant in [8, Theorem 2.12] for the lattice I_{2n+1}. If $\mu(N) \geq c$, then N is already represented by I_{2n+1}.

Suppose that $\mu(N) < c$. Then there exists nonzero vector $v \in N$ such that $0 < \mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(h(v)) < c$. Let σ be a representation of N by I_r, and for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, let ϕ_i be the i-th coordinate function of I_r. Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(h(v)) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\phi_i(v)^*\phi_i(v))$ and all $\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\phi_i(v)^*\phi_i(v))$ are nonnegative rational integers, there are at most $\lfloor c \rfloor$ of the $\phi_i(v)$ are nonzero. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\phi_i(v) = 0$ for $\lfloor c \rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\sigma_1 = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{\lfloor c \rfloor})$ and $\sigma_2 = (\phi_{\lfloor c \rfloor + 1}, \ldots, \phi_r)$ so that $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$.

There are fractional ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of E and sublattice N' of N such that $N = \mathfrak{a}v \oplus N'$. Then $\sigma_2(N) = \sigma_2(N')$, which is a sublattice of rank at most $n-1$ in $I_{r-\lfloor c \rfloor}$. Therefore, if $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n-1)$ is finite, then $\sigma_2(N)$ is represented by some I_s with $s \leq g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n-1)$. Let τ be a representation of $\sigma_2(N)$ by I_s. Then $\sigma' := (\sigma_1, \tau \circ \sigma_2)$ is a representation of N by $I_{s+\lfloor c \rfloor}$, which means that $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n) \leq g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(n-1) + \lfloor c \rfloor$.

Thus, we need to show that $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(1)$ is finite. By [8, Theorem 2.12] again, there exists a constant d such that a positive definite lattice L of rank 1 is represented by I_3 provided that $\mu(L) \geq d$. There are only finitely many isometry classes of positive definite rank 1 lattices whose minima are smaller than d. It follows that $g^*_{\mathcal{O}}(1)$ is finite.

References

[1] R. Baeza, D. Leep, M. O'Ryan and J. P. Prieto, *Sums of squares of linear forms*, Math. Z. **193** (1986), 297-306.

[2] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Low dimensional lattices V - Integral coordinates for integral lattices*, Proc. Royal. Soc. London Ser. A 426 (1989), 211-232.

[3] L. Dirichlet, *Recherches sur les forms quadratiques à coéfficients et à indeterminées complexes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **24** (1842), 291-371.

[4] L. Gerstein, *Integral decomposition of hermitian forms*, Amer. J. Math. **92** (1970), 398-418.

[5] L. Gerstein, *Classes of definite Hermitian forms*, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), 81-97.

[6] K. Hashimoto and K. Harutaka, *Class numbers of positive definite binary and ternary unimodular Hermitian forms*, Tohoku Math. J. **41** (1989), 171-216.

[7] J. S. Hsia, Y. Kitaoka and M. Kneser, *Representations of positive definite quadratic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **301** (1978), 132-141.

[8] J. S. Hsia and J. P. Prieto-Cox, *Representations of positive definite Hermitian forms with approximation and primitive properties*, J. Number Theory **47** (1994), 175-189.

[3]There is a couple of small missteps in the proof of [9, Proposition 6]. First, Humbert's reduction does not guarantee that the minimum of a positive definite integral quadratic form is attained at a unimodular vector when $\mathcal{O}$ is not a PID. Second, $g_{\mathcal{O}}(1)$ is not bounded above by 5 as claimed; as a matter of fact $g_{\mathcal{O}}(1)$, although it is always finite, can be made arbitrary large, see [30].

[9] M. I. Icaza, *Sums of squares of integral linear forms*, Acta Arith. **124** (1996), 231-241.
[10] M. I. Icaza, *Hermite constant and extreme forms for algebraic number fields*, J. London Math. Soc. **55** (1997), 11-22.
[11] A. A. Johnson, *Integral representations of Hermitian forms over local fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 118-121.
[12] M.-H. Kim and B.-K. Oh, *Representations of positive definite senary integral quadratic forms by a sum of squares*, J. Number Theory **63** (1997), 89-100.
[13] M.-H. Kim and B.-K. Oh, *Bounds for quadratic Waring's problem*, Acta Arith. **104** (2002), 155-164.
[14] M.-H. Kim and B.-K. Oh, *Representations of integral quadratic forms by sums of squares*, Math. Z. **250** (2005), 427-442.
[15] M. Kneser, *Klassenzahlen definiter quadratischer Formen*, Arch. math. **8** (1957), 241-250.
[16] K. Knopp, *Infinite sequences and series*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1956.
[17] C. Ko, *On the representation of a quadratic form as a sum of sqaures of linear forms*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **8** (1937), 81-98.
[18] C. Ko, *On the decomposition of quadratic forms in six variables*, Acta Arith. **3** (1939), 64-78.
[19] A. N. Korkin and E. I. Zolotarev, *Sur les formes quadratiques*, Math. Ann. **6** (1873), 366-389.
[20] F. Lemmermeyer, *The Euclidean algorithm in algebraic number theory*, Exposition. Math. **13** (1995), 385-416.
[21] J. Liu, *Representations of integral hermitian forms by sums of norms*, Ph. D. Thesis, Wesleyan University, 2016.
[22] J. Martinet, *Perfect lattices in Euclidean spaces*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
[23] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1973.
[24] L. J. Mordell, *A new Waring's problem with squares of linear forms*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **1** (1930), 276-288.
[25] L. J. Mordell, *The representation of a definite quadratic form as a sum of two others*, Ann. of Math. (2) **38** (1937), 751-757.
[26] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Springer Verlarg, New York, 1963.
[27] O. Perron, *Über das Verhalten einer ausgearteten hypergeometrischen Reihe bei unbegrenztem Wachstum eines Parameters*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **151** (1921), 63-78.
[28] H. Robbins, *A remark on Stirling's formula*, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955), 26-29.
[29] H. Sasaki, *Sums of squares of integral linear forms*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **69** (2000), 298-302.
[30] R. Scharlau, *On the Pythagoras number of orders in totally real number fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **316** (1980), 208-210.
[31] A. Schiemann, *Classification of hermitian forms with the neighbor method*, J. Symbolic Comput. **26** (1998), 487-508.
[32] A. Schinzel, *A positive definite binary quadratic form as a sum of five squares of linear forms (completion of Mordell's proof)*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. **61** (2013), 23-26.
[33] G. Shimura, *Arithmetic of unitary groups*, Ann. of Math. **79** (1964), 369-409.
[34] C.P. Schnorr, *A hierarchy of polynomial time lattice basis reduction algorithms*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **53** (1987), 201-224.
[35] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis. An introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions: with an account of the principal transcendental functions*, Fourth edition (Reprinted), Cambridge University Press, New York 1958.
[36] C. Zong, *Sphere packings*, Universitext, Springer Verlag, New York, 1999.

Institute of Mathematics Simion Stoilow of the Romanian Academy, Calea Grivitei 21, RO-010702 Bucharest, Romania
E-mail address: Constantin.Beli@imar.ro

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wesleyan University, Middletown CT, 06459, USA
E-mail address: wkchan@wesleyan.edu

Instituto de Matemática y Física, Universidad de Talca, Casilla 747, Talca, Chile.
E-mail address: icazap@inst-mat.utalca.cl

Department of Mathematics, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong
E-mail address: jliu02@hku.hk